



cool blue: baltic

Policy brief



POLICY BRIEF

REGENERATIVE OCEAN FARMING IN THE BALTIC SEA REGION

Regional flagship projects supporting sustainable blue economy in EU sea basins



Executive Summary

The Baltic Sea coastal and inland fisheries are mainly regulated by each Member State in the region through their national legislation. While coastal fisheries are managed nationally, fisheries advice is provided by ICES and STECF. The key species in Baltic Sea are cod, herring, sprat, salmon, and plaice and these fisheries are all managed using TACs (total allowable catches). To overcome the effects of pollution, eutrophication and climate change on marine ecosystems, fisheries and aquaculture, policymaking relies on input from the scientific community in an ecosystem-based approach, one of the key principles of the CFP. These scientific data clearly demonstrate negative ecological and economic trends in the Baltic Sea. This calls for a new approach to ecosystem, fisheries and aquaculture management, beyond MSY (maximum sustainable yields), compensation (e.g. fuel, repair or loss-of-income subsidies) or passive conservation measures (e.g. MPAs). This policy brief advocates reversal of these negative trends via integration of regenerative ocean farming (or low-trophic aquaculture of algae, shellfish and salt-tolerant plants) into national legislation and fisheries management strategies, to provide a lifeline for small-scale coastal fishers, constituting 92% of the Baltic fleet, while creating new opportunities for bioremediation, young people, community-led local development, socioeconomic diversification, coastal community cohesion and resilience, as well as supporting urban-to-rural migration and year-round employment in coastal areas.

The problem

- The problems identified are 1) chronic eutrophication in the Baltic Sea; 2) chronic
 depopulation of rural areas; 3) deteriorating employment in small-scale coastal
 fisheries; 4) loss of indigenous skills and knowledge and 5) lack of new recruits to
 sustain local and regional maritime economies
- Projections in global seafood production anticipate a 50 million tonne seafood supply gap from "business as usual" fisheries and aquaculture by 2050.

Its significance

- In 2021, as much as 92% of the total number of Baltic Sea vessels belonged to the small-scale coastal fleet (SSCF)
- The total number of people employed onboard the small-scale vessels amounted to about 4.650 fishers (11% less compared to 2020) or 2.040 FTE (12% less compared to 2020).
- In 2021, the SSCF contributed 75% (or 62% in FTE) of the total employment in the Baltic Sea fishery.
- The small-scale fleet accounts for **8% of weight** and **26% of the landed value** from the Baltic Sea.
- From the top 10 most profitable segments, 7 belonged to pelagic trawlers, 2 to demersal trawlers and 1 to passive gear vessels below 10 metres

Further implications

- Continued decline of Baltic fisheries can lead to negative impacts on employment levels, health services, local coastal economies, food security, social cohesion while compounding rural-to-urban migration.
- Regenerative ocean farming can contribute to achieving Good Environmental
 Status (GES); to soil remediation through biostimulants; mitigate methane
 emissions and in agriculture feeds; store carbon in biomass and biochar; displace
 fossil-based products (e.g. bioplastics); improve animal and human nutrition and
 secure domestic seafood supply in the face of emerging geopolitical threats.

Methodology

Data and policy input for this policy brief were taken primarily from the STECF 2023 Annual
Economic Report on the EU Fishing Fleet (STECF 23-07), the PlanetTracker "Aquafailure" report
(2023); the COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND
THE COUNCIL "Sustainable fishing in the EU: state of play and orientations for 2025" and
Underwood et al "Settlement and recruitment of fish in mussel farms", 2023.

Key messages

- The Baltic Sea is chronically eutrophicated, which hinders fish stock recovery
- Fishing efforts (Days at Sea) have decreased by 25% between 2013-2020
- In 2021, Baltic Sea fleet consumed an estimated 51.1 million litres of fuel
- TAC (total allowable catch) quotas of commercially important species (cod, herring, sprat and salmon) in the Baltic are on a downward trend to protect fish stocks
- As a result, the volume and value of Baltic fish landed in 2021 were 21% and 28% lower compared to the 2013-2020 average respectively
- Cod landings' value decreased as much as 91%, Baltic herring declined by 31% and sprat decreased by 6% in 2021 compared to the 2013-2020 long term average
- The profitability of the Baltic fleet decreased in 2021 (42% net profit decrease compared to 2020) with Sweden, Poland and Finland making most profit.
- Increased fuel costs and lower landings revenues (caused by TAC cuts) were two
 main reasons that negatively affected profitability of the Baltic fisheries in 2021
- The GVA generated by the Baltic fleet in 2021 was EUR 88.1 million, a 19% decline compared to 2020 GVA (EUR 109.2 million), with an especially sharp decline in Germany and Denmark.

Whereas..

- Low-trophic aquaculture can support fish stock recovery and reverse eutrophication
- Low-trophic aquaculture creates alternative income streams for small-scale fishers and economic diversification in processing, tourism and retail sectors
- Subsidies must shift from e.g. fuel subsidies towards restoring and managing the marine environment, monitoring and data collection.
- Subsidies must shift from fisheries to the development of regional regenerative aquaculture value chains and business support for small-scale fishers, young entrepreneurs and coastal communities
- National aquaculture licensing procedures for low-trophic aquaculture must be simplified and harmonised to allow economic diversification and innovation to emerge

Policy options, pros & cons

Option 1: Do nothing

PRO: No action required CON: Future costs (unemployment, environmental damage)

Option 2: Continue compensating fishers for fuel, repairs, or not to fish

PRO: Reduced fishing pressure CON: Unsustainable; creates dependence

Option 2: Continue reducing fishing TAC quotas

PRO: Reduced fishing pressure CON: Increased pressure on fishers (unemployment)

Option 3: Provide re-training of fishers in offshore energy

PRO: Increased employment CON: Training costs; skills gap

Option 4: Extend trawling limits

PRO: Reduced environmental damage; ecosystem and fish stock recovery

CON: Political and administrative effort; lack of standard monitoring frameworks

Option 5: Extend MPAs and passive conservation areas

PRO: Reduced environmental damage; ecosystem and fish stock recovery

CON: Monitoring and enforcement requirements; exclusion of other activities

Option 6: Subsidise active ecosystem restoration measures

PRO: Ecosystem and fish stock recovery

CON: Political and administrative effort; lack of standard monitoring frameworks

Option 7: Subsidise fishers in low-trophic aquaculture

PRO: Transferable skills: employment: economic diversification

CON: Political and administrative effort; lack of standard monitoring frameworks

Option 8: Integrate regenerative aquaculture into national aquaculture and bioremediation strategies

PRO: Economic diversification; potential for co-location & multi-use of space; improved ecological and economic outcomes

CON: Political and administrative effort: lack of standard monitoring frameworks

Option 9: Develop dedicated licensing and monitoring procedures at the national or EU level for low-trophic aquaculture

PRO: Economic diversification; business development; innovation; improved access to space CON: Political and administrative effort; lack of standard monitoring frameworks

